



TABLE OF CONTENTS

From the President 1
 2003 FSA Response to PricewaterhouseCoopers 2
 2003 Member Listing 3
 2004 FSA Board of Directors 8
 2004 FSA Officers 8

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

During the past year, the FSA has held two exceptionally successful meetings. First, President Elect (and now incoming President) Jim Benjamin of Texas A&M University, in conjunction with Deloitte LLP, organized a faculty consortium on fraud examination and corporate governance that participants are still talking about. The consortium was funded by Deloitte and the AICPA, and was held at the Chicago Fairmont. As was so often the case at past FSA faculty consortia, attendance was at full capacity. Also as in the past, participants had considerable opportunity to interact with professionals and to take home with them concrete ideas and useful materials for the classroom. Deloitte plans to sponsor the consortium in Chicago once again in May, 2004. The responsibility of working with Deloitte to organize the consortium falls to newly elected President Elect Andy Judd of the University of Central Florida. Andy and Deloitte's Mark Chain have already decided that the theme of the 2004 Deloitte/FSA Faculty Consortium will be "Ethics and Professionalism in Accounting."



O. Finley Graves

Second, Bruce Behn from the University of Tennessee and a committee that included Julie Smith David from Arizona State University, Pete Brewer from Miami University (Ohio), and Bob Colson of the New York Society of CPAs organized a very well-received FSA Annual Meeting at the Hyatt Regency Downtown in Denver, Colorado, in October. I might also mention that John Wilguess from Oklahoma State University, along with members of the Graduate Programs Directors Committee (which John chaired), put together several panels on issues facing Master's programs in accounting that were very well attended and very lively. In fact, both Bruce and I have received a number of messages and telephone calls about the high quality of the meeting overall. Many thanks to Jim, Bruce, and John and their committees for their dedication and hard work.

Over the years, the FSA has undergone several transformations. Its name, Federation of Schools of Accountancy, stems from the fact that one of the original purposes of the organization was to promote the separate school of accountancy concept. Several member programs still operate under that model, but the FSA quite a few years ago moved beyond championing the school idea and committed to serving all accounting programs that aspire to accreditation. For this reason, most of its activities and initiatives revolve around accreditation and curriculum development. Over the past year, for example, the FSA's Accreditation Committee, under the leadership of Eileen Peacock of Oakland University and on behalf of the FSA, drafted comments on the proposed new AACSB accounting standards. The FSA Board authorized sending the comments forward to the AACSB's Accounting Standards Committee at its meeting in Denver. The Board has also drafted a position statement on the PricewaterhouseCoopers proposals for alternatives to the 150-hour requirement as put forward in PwC's monograph, *Educating for the Public Trust*. The statement is included in this newsletter and will be circulated in other publications and formats as well.

PLEASE KEEP CHECKING
OUR WEB SITE FOR MORE
INFORMATION ON:

- The FSA Faculty Consortium
upcoming in May 2004
- FSA Annual Meeting in 2004

www.thefsa.org

From the FSA President (cont'd from pg. 1)

These various activities point to the value of the FSA. The faculty consortium is perhaps the organization's highest profile activity. Due to the nature of the consortium, space is always limited, but year after year it is fully subscribed. The consortium is "hands on" and practical, and faculty invariably have something concrete to take back and integrate into their courses. The annual meeting also serves as a forum for exchanging views on accounting education philosophies, for sharing innovative ideas on curriculum development, and for learning about ways that accounting programs have met accreditation standards. The FSA has always involved itself in accreditation issues and worked in tandem with the AACSB. And true to its mission of promoting accredited graduate programs in accounting, it has publicly affirmed the value of separate accounting accreditation and of graduate education in professional accountancy when those ideals have been challenged. It did so when the Western Deans questioned the value of separate accounting accreditation, and is doing so currently in response to the PwC proposals that would effectively overturn the 150-hour requirement and discourage graduate education in accounting. Members of the FSA represent accounting programs that subscribe to these ideals and look to the FSA for leadership in advocating them.

O. Finley Graves
University of North Texas

RESPONSE BY THE FEDERATION OF SCHOOLS OF ACCOUNTANCY TO THE PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS POSITION ON ACCOUNTING EDUCATION (2003)

PURPOSE OF OUR RESPONSE

In March of 2003, PricewaterhouseCoopers issued a position statement entitled *Educating for the Public Trust: The PricewaterhouseCoopers Position on Accounting Education* (New York: PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, 2003). We certainly respect the opinions and recommendations of a major employer of our students and clearly share with PwC a vital interest in the future of accounting education. A stated goal of the PwC publication is to "stimulate productive dialogue," but while considerable private dialogue has occurred, to date little public commentary, published or otherwise, has emerged. The issues at hand, however, are of great importance not only to academe and the profession, but to the entire financial community. Accordingly, extensive public dialogue is essential. It is from this sense of responsibility to our profession, the community, and our students, past, present and future, that we offer the following observations and comments. We hope others will join the conversation and a productive dialogue will result.

THE PwC STUDY

The PwC study actually comprises two documents. The first is a position statement, while the second is an executive summary of a study conducted for PwC to support the

conclusions reached and positions taken. Since it is a summary, it does not provide a rich discussion of its methods or findings. For this reason, it is difficult to evaluate the reliability of the study or the generalizability of its findings. The conclusions of the study are based on a sample of nine universities and the comments of a very limited number of graduates of these programs, all of whom are currently employed by PwC. The researchers focused on a subset of these schools (four of the nine) that offer integrated bachelors/masters programs. In these programs, work in the third, fourth, and fifth year was considered.

We believe it would be useful for PwC to release the full report that outlines the methodology and the rationale of the researchers in arriving at their conclusions. There is, for example, concern as to the definition of value metrics. In addition, certain of the conclusions seem to be at odds with the first part of the report, which emphasizes the need for increased non-technical skills development. The graduate tax programs that they recommend retaining, for example, often emphasize technical skills. Thus, for us, the report raises more questions than it answers, and fails to provide convincing support for the opinions and conclusions expressed.

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

PwC emphasizes the critical need of the public accounting profession for “an adequate and predictable flow of new talent.” Long-term solutions, however, are not advanced other than identifying university administrators, deans, program leaders, and faculty as those charged with the basic responsibility of recruiting highly talented students to public accounting in general, and increasing the supply of highly talented minority students majoring in accounting in particular. Since we all are part of the accounting professional supply chain, this conclusion may be, at the very least, somewhat incomplete. It would be beneficial for accounting practitioners to assume some of the credit or blame for the situation PwC describes and work with academicians to craft new solutions for the issues raised. For certain of these issues, moreover, such as enhanced interpersonal and communication skills, complex reasoning skills, life-long learning skills, universities are currently already devoting significant resources.

The statement also addresses other skills in the general areas of ethics and professional practice. Again, it is recommended that university administrators, deans, and program leaders commit additional resources to these programs. We agree, and many universities are now attempting to address these concerns.

While the position statement addresses a variety of issues, a key element is a call for alternatives to the 150-hour pre-certification commitments made by the profession, regulators, and legislators over the last two decades. As PwC states in the accompanying Study of Accounting Education (Conrad and Rapp), “We remain skeptical...as regards whether master’s degrees in accounting add significant ‘value’ to students’ accounting education.” Given this perception of a lack of significant value, PwC proposes other options, including certification of extant in-house CPE training and an additional year of experience as alternative means of achieving the objectives of the original 150-hour mandate.

It can be argued that a reduction in formal university education and “flexibility” in meeting the 150-hour requirement might be both shortsighted and poorly timed given the recent highly publicized failures of our profession. We do not agree that firm-specific technical education is an effective substitute for a skill-based curriculum that emphasizes the societal benefits of professional integrity, nor that it will build (or restore) public trust. Universities are uniquely positioned to embrace diverse views and open discussion in an atmosphere devoid of commercial interests. There have been

(continued on page 4)

MEMBER SCHOOL/ASSOCIATE

FULL MEMBERS

Arizona State University • Auburn University • Baylor University • Belmont University • Bentley College • Boise State University • Bowling Green State University • Bradley University • Brigham Young University • California State University – Fullerton • Case Western Reserve University • The Chinese University of Hong Kong • Clemson University • Cleveland State University • CUNY – Baruch College • DePaul University • East Tennessee State University • Florida International University • Florida State University • George Mason University • Georgia State University • Idaho State University • Illinois State University • Iowa State University • James Madison University • Kansas State University • Kennesaw State University • Louisiana Tech University • Loyola College in Maryland • Marquette University • Miami University • Michigan State University • Mississippi State University • New Mexico State University • New York University • Northern Illinois University • Oklahoma State University • Old Dominion University • Rider University • Rutgers University • Saint Joseph’s University • San Diego State University • Southern Illinois University at Carbondale • Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville • Southwest Missouri State University • State University of West Georgia • Stetson University • SUNY Buffalo • Texas A&M University • Texas Tech University • Truman State University • University of Akron • University of Alabama • University of Alabama at Birmingham • University of Arkansas • University of Central Florida • University of Connecticut • University of Delaware • University of Denver • University of Florida • University of Georgia • University of Houston – Clear Lake • University of Illinois at Chicago • University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign • University of Iowa • University of Kentucky • University of Memphis • University of Miami • The University of Mississippi • University of Missouri-Columbia • University of Missouri-St. Louis • University of Nebraska – Lincoln • University of Nevada – Las Vegas • University of New Mexico • University of New Orleans • University of North Carolina at Charlotte • University of North Texas • University of Oklahoma • University of Oregon • University of Rhode Island • University of South Carolina • University of Southern California • University of South Florida • University of Southern Mississippi • The University of Tennessee – Knoxville • University of Texas – Arlington • University of Texas – Austin •

(continued on page 4)

(continued from page 3)

University of Texas – El Paso • University of Texas – San Antonio • University of Utah • University of Virginia, McIntire School • Utah State University • Virginia Commonwealth • Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University • Wake Forest University, Calloway School • Weber State University • West Virginia University • Western Illinois University • Western Michigan University • Wichita State University • Wright State University

ACCREDITED AFFILIATE

Arizona State University West • Central Michigan University • Creighton University • Drake University • Georgia Southern University • Howard University • John Carroll University • Lehigh University • Oakland University • Ohio University • Pacific Luthern University • Southeastern Louisiana University • Towson University • University of Dayton • University of Louisville • University of Louisiana at Monroe • University of Nevada-Reno • University of Northern Colorado • University of Notre Dame • University of Richmond • Villanova University

AFFILIATE

Augusta State • Boston College • California Luthern University • Chapman University • Clarion University • Colorado State University • Eastern Illinois State University • Eastern Michigan University • Florida Atlantic University • Florida Gulf Coast University • Fordham University • Gonzaga University • Indiana University of Pennsylvania • Iona College • Kent State College • La Grange College • La Salle University • Long Island University – CW Post Campus • Louisiana State University • Louisiana State University – Shreveport • Manhattan College • Mercy College • Minnesota State University, Mankato • Monmouth University • Northeastern University • Northern Kentucky University • Pace University • Pittsburg State University • Robert Morris College • Rochester Institute of Technology • Ryerson Polytechnic University • Sam Houston State University • San Jose State University • Siena College • Southern Connecticut State University • St. John's University • Syracuse University • Tennessee State University • Touro College • University of Alaska – Anchorage • University of Hawaii at Manoa • University of Houston – Victoria • University of Missouri – Kansas City • University of Nebraska at Kearney • University of Northern Iowa • University of Phoenix • University of Southern Maine • University of Southwestern Louisiana • University of St. Thomas • The University of Tennessee at Martin • University of Texas – Pan American • The University of Tulsa •

(continued on page 5)

From the FSA President (cont'd from pg. 3)

numerous calls, particularly over the past fifteen years, to significantly modify the nature of accounting education. Generally, the calls have been for a curriculum focused on skills and abilities (critical thinking, research and problem solving, communication, and technology) rather than on technical detail. We believe that considerable progress has been made in accomplishing this change, although not surprisingly these changes have been inconsistent both across programs and among faculty within programs. Indeed, PwC's own review of a limited, diverse set of programs reflects this ongoing process of change. We do not believe that it is in the interest of either the accounting profession or the business community to thwart this ongoing improvement or to substitute technical, firm-based training for university education. Our challenge as educators is to ensure a vibrant curriculum that strongly and clearly addresses basic issues of integrity and professionalism in accounting. Only through independent and rigorous academic discourse on issues such as professional integrity will we achieve the goal of educating for the public trust.

SPECIFIC POINTS

The statement emphasizes that accounting programs must be successful in attracting the right students, providing a vigorous and challenging curriculum, and maintaining adequate resources to ensure the viability of the educational process. The report includes ten sections that discuss specific recommendations in each of these areas. We comment below on specific points raised in the study.

In Point #1, the primary measurement criterion used in evaluating the quality of talent is “performance after employment.” It is indicated that this process requires considerable effort, a key part of which is evaluating the quality of entrants. Neither the data nor summary trends on which conclusions are drawn are available. It might be argued that lack of challenge in early work assignments is a key reason for turnover and that firms do not differentiate in making early work assignments based on the educational level of staff. If true, it is not surprising that insignificant differences in performance in early work are found.

It is argued that a duty of faculty is to promote the accounting profession and “ensure that students make critical choices with sufficient, reliable information.” The academic community may question the role of faculty in this regard. Many believe that this is a “harder sell” today in the aftermath of scandals in the profession and lagging entry-level salaries over the last decade. Still, efforts have been made, and continue to be made, by schools and faculty, to modify introductory accounting courses, including the provision of reliable information to assist in the choice of a major. What additional modifications would be useful in addressing this issue?

In Point #2, the need for a diverse workforce is noted. It is asserted that “the supply of minority talent must increase.” Academics have long recognized and advocated the advantages of a diverse student body. Much effort has been made and continues to be made to achieve this goal. The profession, its member firms, state societies, and the AICPA must also examine their efforts. This complex issue is not exclusively the problem of universities, which must work within the law and directives of courts, and which historically have aggressively championed this cause. What new actions may be taken to address this issue?

In Point #3, the need for academic accounting programs and faculty to recommit to the highest standards of integrity, the hallmark of a profession, is emphasized. It is noted that “The power of education by visibly living these values should not be under-

estimated.” A re-energized commitment of the profession to this cause is welcomed, and synergistic national initiatives to sustain this momentum are encouraged. It might be argued, however, that such attributions to the power of education are inconsistent with subsequent recommendations to diminish our commitment to the 150-hour professional mandate.

In Point #4, a list of deficiencies of current accounting programs is provided. Examples include “higher level interpersonal and communication skills” and abilities to “identify and solve complex, real world problems,” but calls to address these deficiencies are not new. Indeed, considerable progress has been made in these areas over the last decade and aggressive efforts continue. Most college students are young and expectations must be reasonable. Indeed, professionals with ten or twenty years’ experience struggle with the challenges of complex, real-world problems. It is also stated that “when completing their formal education in accounting, many students do not fully understand what it means to be a practicing accountant in a practical, everyday sense.” Dialogue on these important issues obviously will require an abundance of goodwill and trust.

Points #6 and #7 return to the theme of #4, adding a time-explicit proposal to revise the 150-hour pre-certification requirement. This proposal would allow the substitution of extant in-house employee training for the fifth year of collegiate accounting education. Today, when accounting scandals have rocked the nation, recommendations to do less, rather than more, may certainly be questioned. Certainly the recommended course of action would reduce the educational costs to students; but it is not clear that the proposed education will entice either additional or higher quality students. To the contrary, some believe that interesting and efficient options for graduate education increase the quality, and possibly the quantity, of potential well-qualified entrants into the profession. These statements also appear to be incongruent with other themes expressed in the document advocating more, not less, effort.

The need to educate students to serve the public trust is incontrovertible. If our graduate programs only provided students with additional technical expertise, continuing professional education would certainly be a viable alternative to university coursework. But if our programs continue to respond to calls for more broadly educated entrants to the profession, firm CPE does not appear to be a viable substitute. Given pressures to remain abreast of current technical developments and master “the firm’s way” of documenting, processing, etc., the opportunity to consider such broader issues as the nature of accounting and the public trust, theoretical alternatives to current practices, broader business value strategies, or broader moral issues facing the profession is limited. Graduate education produces the more broadly educated, multi-faceted, and adaptable professional that the profession and the nation’s policy makers sought when the 150-hour requirement was first envisioned and subsequently adopted.

In Point #8, the anticipated shortfall of accounting faculty as a result of an impending wave of retirements and limited new faculty entering the ranks is considered. PwC asserts that the “dean and program leader must ensure the availability of competent, well-educated, enthusiastic new accounting faculty.” The causes of new faculty not entering the ranks, however, are neither identified nor addressed. Deans and program chairs face very tight budget conditions nationwide. Academic careers, as currently configured, are not as attractive as in the past. To the extent faculty salary deficiencies limit the attractiveness of the professoriate, focusing on deans and program leaders who lack the means to address the problem is not a viable solution. This issue deserves a serious examination if collegiate accounting programs are indeed valued members of the supply chain of the public accounting profession.

(continued from page 4)

University of West Florida • University of Wisconsin - Eau Claire • University of Wisconsin - Oshkosh • Universidad ISEC • Wayne State University • West Virginia Institute of Technology • Widener University • Wilfrid Laurier • Yeshiva University • Youngstown State University

NON-PROFIT ASSOCIATE

AACSB • Academy of Legal Studies • AICPA • Alabama Society of CPAs • Association of Government Accountants • Connecticut Society of CPAs • Florida Institute of CPAs • Illinois CPA Society • Institute of Chartered Accountants of Ontario • Institute of Management Accountants • Missouri Society of CPA • NASBA • New Jersey Society of CPAs • New York State Society of CPAs • North Carolina Association of CPAs • Ohio Society of CPAs • Society of Louisiana CPAs • Southern Carolina Association of CPAs • Tennessee Society of CPAs • Texas Society of CPAs

PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

Deloitte & Touche, LLP • Ernst & Young • Grant Thornton, LLP • KPMG, LLP • PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP

(continued on page 6)

From the FSA President (cont'd from pg. 5)

In Point #9, deans and program leader groups are urged to support increased faculty interaction with professionals. It is our opinion, however, that deans and program leaders do support these interactions. AACSB accreditation standards, moreover, require them. To address this issue requires time, effort, and resources from a number of parties. This is sometimes difficult because faculty internships and co-ops with firms are not uniformly encouraged or supported by firms. Recruiters normally function as liaisons and often are the only professionals to whom faculty have access. Busy schedules rarely provide professionals the opportunity to interact meaningfully with accounting professors. Deans and program leaders must continue to acknowledge the importance of this essential faculty activity and support these efforts in performance review and reward processes. Increased opportunities must also allow for greater interaction to occur. If accounting programs are considered valued members of the supply chain, increased attention and support must come from all parties.

In Point #10, it is noted with concern that university administrators and deans often “sub-optimize their accounting program in favor of providing increased support to their MBA program.” It is argued that business schools and those organizations that rank business schools must recognize that leaders come from both accounting programs and MBA programs. Additionally, they suggest that “no business school should be considered to be top quality if it is not equally supporting” accounting and other programs. This issue is both complex and difficult. The AACSB recognizes a school’s right to establish its own mission and specialize accordingly. Similar to other organizations, schools address their comparative advantages vis-à-vis the shifting needs of the community and the marketplace. The market for accounting graduates has diminished over the last decade for clearly economic reasons. Relative starting salaries for accounting majors have decreased compared to those of other business majors. Applicants to accounting programs have declined. Schools contend that they have acted rationally and reasonably in redeploying their increasingly scarce resources.

CONCLUSION

We strongly concur that our profession is currently faced with particularly challenging times. We also agree with PricewaterhouseCoopers’ assertion that “we as practitioners and professors must add a sense of urgency to our continuing efforts to improve accounting education.” We do not agree, however, with several of the conclusions in the PricewaterhouseCoopers position on accounting education – particularly given the limited and narrow data on which these conclusions are based. We value the past and current efforts of PwC to improve accounting education and hope that our response will encourage further dialogue and research on these critical issues as we all strive to improve our profession and enhance its image.

Federation of Schools of Accountancy
November 2003

FSA PRESENTS SERVICE AWARDS AT ANNUAL MEETING

The Federation of Schools of Accountancy annually gives two major awards to recognize exceptional service to accounting education. The 2003 awards presentation took place at the 27th Annual Meeting in Denver, CO.



Karen Pincus

The Joseph A. Silvos Faculty Merit Award is given to an academician who has made notable contributions in teaching, curriculum development, service and research. This year's recipient is Karen V. Pincus from the University of Arkansas. Karen is the twenty-first recipient of this award. She has been very active in the FSA, having served in a number of capacities including President and chair of several major FSA committees. This award is generously co-sponsored by KPMG which provides a check for \$2,500 to the recipient. The FSA congratulates Professor Karen Pincus as the recipient of this award and thanks her for all of her service to accounting education and to the FSA.



Kenneth Bouyer

The FSA Practitioner Award is given for distinguished service to accounting education and to accounting organizations. This year's recipient is Ken Bouyer from Ernst & Young. Ken is the fifteenth recipient of this award. Ken has served on the FSA's Board of Directors for several terms, and has been a key source of support within Ernst & Young for the FSA. The FSA congratulates Ken Bouyer as the recipient of this award and thanks him for his service to the profession of accounting and to accounting education.

MEMBER SCHOOLS

Ruth W. Epps, Chair
Department of Accounting
School of Business
Virginia Commonwealth University
1015 Floyd Avenue
Richmond, VA 23284-4000
Phone: (804) 828-1608
Fax: (804) 828-8884
E-mail: rwepps@vcu.edu

David Donnelly, Chair
Department of Accounting
University of Nevada — Las Vegas
4505 Maryland Parkway, Box 456003
Las Vegas, NV 89154-6003
Phone: (702) 895-1559
Fax: (702) 895-4306
E-mail: daviddonnelly@ccmail.nevada.edu

Don Dies, Director
School of Accountancy
University of Missouri-Columbia
312 Middlebush Hall
Columbia, MO 65211
Phone: (573) 882-3225
Fax: (573) 882-2437
E-mail: diesd@missouri.edu

SUPPORTING ASSOCIATES

Mark M. Chain, Partner
Deloitte & Touche LLP
National Director of Recruiting
& Human Resources Management
Ten Westport Road
Wilton, CT 06897-0820
Phone: (203) 761-3334
Fax: (203) 563-2324
E-mail: mchain@deloitte.com

George W. Krull, Jr.
Grant Thornton LLP
26W334 Menomini Drive
Wheaton, IL 60187
Phone: (312) 602-8109
Fax: (312) 602-8117
E-mail: gwkrull@msn.com

NONPROFIT ASSOCIATES

Shawna Gazaway
AACSB
600 Emerson Road
Suite 300
St. Louis Missouri 63141
Phone: (314) 872-8481, x 259
Fax: (314) 872-8495
Email: shawna@aacsb.edu

2004 FSA OFFICERS

PRESIDENT

James J. Benjamin, Head
Department of Accounting
Mays Business School
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843-4353
Phone: (979) 845-0356
Fax: (979) 845-0028
E-mail: j-benjamin@tamu.edu

VICE PRESIDENT/PRESIDENT ELECT

Andrew J. Judd, Director
School of Accounting
University of Central Florida
4000 Central Florida Boulevard
Orlando, FL 32816-1400
Phone: (407) 823-2871
Fax: (407) 823-3881
E-mail: andrew.judd@bus.ucf.edu

SECRETARY

Gregory Carnes, Chair
Department of Accountancy
Northern Illinois University
DeKalb, IL 60115-2854
Phone: (815) 753-1250
Fax: (815) 753-8515
E-mail: gcarnes@niu.edu

PAST PRESIDENT

O. Finley Graves, Chair
Department of Accounting
University of North Texas
P.O. Box 305219
Denton, TX 76203-5219
Phone: (940) 565-3097
Fax: (940) 565-3803
E-mail: gravesf@unt.edu

TREASURER

Tom Schaefer, Chair
Department of Accountancy
University of Notre Dame
102 College of Business Administration
Notre Dame, IN 46556-5646
Phone: (219) 631-9095
Fax: (219) 631-5255
E-mail: schaefer.12@nd.edu

2004 FSA COMMITTEES NOW BEING FORMED

If you would like to volunteer to serve on an FSA Committee in 2004, please send an email to Jim Benjamin(j-benjamin@tamu.edu). New members are needed on the following continuing committees:

- Annual Meeting
- Accreditation
- Awards
- Graduate Programs Directors
- Strategic Planning